# Independent Market Professional Feedback Survey

**AmpGFX has a panel of independent market professionals that provide regular feedback on our reports to help us maintain a high quality, remain consistent with our code of conduct, and industry standards for complaint research. Please feel free to fill out and return our feedback survey, and highlight any specific cases where you may have concerns over the quality or integrity of our reports.**

Please indicate the period over which you have evaluated our reports or the titles of specific reports you have reviewed.

**AmpGFX Reports**

Please rate our AmpGFX Reports on their performance relative to the industry average, using the following scale of 1 to 5.

1. Infrequent/ well-below average
2. Sometimes/below average
3. Mostly/average
4. Consistently/above average
5. Highly consistent/well above average.
6. Was the analysis based on a sound methodology of assessing a range of factors (economic data, government policy, cross-market analysis, behavioural science)?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. Did the reports consider a wide range of factors in drawing conclusions?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. Were the reports well balanced and did they demonstrate a good grasp of the importance of relevant factors in the analysis?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. Were the opinions expressed evidenced-based?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. Did the reports provide a guide to the outcomes in the FX and financial markets covered?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. Did the reports sufficiently highlight the risks related to the FX markets covered in the reports?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. Were the reports concise and to the point?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

1. In summary, were the reports a well-rounded, balanced, evidence-based, soundly researched, high-quality analysis of the FX market?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

**Please highlight instances where an AmpGFX Report:**

1. Provided an opinion that was not evidence-based.
2. Assigned an inappropriate level of certainty or confidence to an event outcome.
3. Did not consider important factors that might affect the outcome of a predicted event.
4. Used information that was not sourced; including official quotes and charts from other publications and websites.
5. Appeared to use questionable or unreputable sources.
6. Expressed an opinion on the direction of a particular company security.
7. Was disparaging of a company or individual, including a government official or representative in a way that had no bearing on the direction of the financial market that was the subject of the analysis.
8. Provided opinion that implied or suggested the author had non-public information; including from un-named sources or hearsay.
9. Expressed an opinion that contradicted the trading strategy expressed in a Real-Time AmpGFX Strategy Briefing.

**Real-Time AmpGFX Strategy Briefings:**

**Please highlight instances where:**

1. A Real-Time Trading Strategy Briefing appeared to change abruptly from opinions in an AmpGFX Report without adequate justification.
2. Presented a trade that appeared to have little foundation; in that, it was not backed by a range of factors including fundamental, technical and behaviour analysis.

**(Please provide the title of the report, and specific paragraphs)**

Please make any additional comments on ways we could improve our research reports, or on any other matters that concern you about our business or on ways we could improve our service.

Please return your survey to Greg Gibbs at: Greg.Gibbs@ampGFXcapital.com.